

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,463	09/15/2000	Charles Petruccelli	9547-3	3649
20322 75	590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 EAST VAN BUREN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001			3629	
		DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/662,463	PETRUCCELLI ET AL.			
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jonathan Ouellette	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 07 S	September 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is F	INAL. 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ; 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26,2</u> 4a) Of the abov 5)□ Claim(s) 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26,2</u> 7)□ Claim(s)	28,30 and 32 is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

The Request filed on 9/7/2004 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114
 based on parent Application No. 09/662,463 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 27, 29, and 31 have been cancelled; therefore, Claims 1-26, 28, 30, and 32 are currently pending in application 09/662,463.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-26, 28, 30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taufique (WO 01/20518 A1) in view of Lauffer (US 6,223,165 B1).
- 5. As per Claims 1, 8, 9, 13, and 20, Taufique discloses a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for facilitating the distribution of information, comprising:

6.2

wherein the expert server includes an answer database and wherein communicating with the customer includes receiving, by the expert server, contact information from the customer (e-mail address); identifying a plurality of experts, wherein the plurality of experts are in selective communication with the expert server (pg.6-8); receiving from the customer a request, wherein the customer's request is received by the expert server; facilitating a selection of an expert from the plurality of experts, wherein the expert has particular knowledge about the subject matter; forwarding, by the expert server, the customer's request and the customer's contact information (e-mail address) to the expert (pg.6-8), such that the expert can communicate with the customer to provide response to the customer request (pg.6-8 – Live Help)

- 6. Taufique also discloses <u>automatically</u> retrieving from <u>the</u> answer database, <u>without</u> intervention by the expert, an answer to the customer request (pg.6-8 Existing Expert Solution, Fig.1A).
- 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included wherein each expert had a separate answer database which could be automatically searched and sent to end users upon request, in the system disclosed by Taufique. However, the system disclosed by Taufique, wherein all previously answered questions are gathered into one central database, would be an advancement on the claimed invention allowing for the answers to be more concisely organized and searched.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463

Art Unit: 3629

travel.

9. However, Lauffer discloses providing expert advice related to travel (C1 L19-27).

8. Furthermore, Taufique fails to expressly disclose providing expert advice related to

10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have included providing expert advice related to travel, as

disclosed by Lauffer, in the system disclosed by Taufique, for the advantage of providing

Page 4

a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing information, with the

ability to increase system effectiveness and efficiency by providing expert based answers

to a multitude of question types.

11. As per Claims 2, 10, 14, and 21, Taufique and Lauffer fail to expressly disclose wherein

facilitating selection of a destination expert comprises selecting a destination expert from

among the plurality of experts, wherein the destination expert is located in the destination

city or confirming that the destination expert is familiar with the destination.

12. However, Lauffer does disclose obtaining expert characteristics to include: details of

expertise, address, and quality scores (Abstract, C1 L19-67, C2 L1-36).

13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have included wherein determining a destination expert comprises

determining a destination expert of the plurality of experts, wherein the destination expert

is located in the destination city or confirming that the destination expert is familiar with

the destination, in the system disclosed by Lauffer, for the advantage of providing a

method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing travel-related

Art Unit: 3629

information, with the ability to increase quality service by ensuring the experts have the qualification necessary to offer correct advice.

- 14. As per Claims 3, 15, and 22, Taufique and Lauffer disclose wherein communicating with the customer over the computer network includes receiving a credit card number from the customer.
- 15. As per Claims 4, 16, and 23, Taufique and Lauffer fail to expressly discloses wherein the destination expert response includes an offer to book reservations relating to the customer request.
- 16. However, official notice is given (and accepted by applicant as indicated by lack of response in remarks received 3/2/04) that such reservation booking services were well known at the time the invention was made, and it would have been obvious to include such a booking service in the system disclosed by Lauffer, for the advantage of providing a method (system, computer-readable storage, device) for providing travel-related information, with the ability to increase customer satisfaction by completing the travel related inquiry by booking the travel related service.
- 17. As per Claims 5, 17, and 24, Taufique and Lauffer disclose receiving the destination expert response from the destination expert and forwarding the destination expert response to the customer.
- 18. As per Claims 6, 18, and 25, Taufique and Lauffer disclose facilitating a transaction with the customer, wherein the transaction relates to the customer request.
- 19. As per Claims 7, 19, and 26, Taufique and Lauffer disclose monitoring communications of the destination expert server.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,463 Page 6

Art Unit: 3629

20. As per Claim 11, Taufique and Lauffer disclose wherein the destination expert server is accessible to the customer via the Internet.

- 21. As per Claim 12, Taufique and Lauffer disclose wherein the plurality of experts is in selective communication with the destination expert server via electronic mail.
- 22. As per Claims 27, 29, and 31, Taufique and Lauffer disclose wherein the step of retrieving an answer from an answer database is performed automatically without intervention by the destination expert.

Response to Arguments

- 23. Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/04, with respect to Claims 1-26, 28, 30, and 32, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 24. The Applicant has made the argument that the cited prior art fails to disclose "automatically retrieving from an answer database, without intervention by the destination expert, an answer to the customer request, such that the destination expert response includes the retrieved answer.
- 25. However, Taufique discloses automatically retrieving saved expert answers to related previously answered questions for system users upon request, without expert intervention (pg.6-8, Fig.1A (Non-live Help) search database, response from database, issue resolved).

Conclusion

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am 5:00pm.

- 27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306 for all official communications.
- 28. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

October 14, 2004

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7